



CONTACT RECORD			
Unique Document ID and Revision No:	ONR-CR-TD-19-299	CM9 Ref:	2020/03836
Title / Topic: <i>(include level 1, 2, 3 or 4 if applicable)</i>	AWE Off-site emergency planning group meeting		
Date of Contact:	6th January 2020		
Date Issued:	7th January 2020		
Completed / Compiled By: <i>(name, programme)</i>	[REDACTED]		
Type of Contact: <i>(*delete not applicable)</i>	Dial in to meeting		
Contact With: <i>(names, position, organisation)</i>	Meeting Chaired by: [REDACTED] Present / Represented [REDACTED]		
ONR Staff: <i>(names, role, programme)</i>	[REDACTED]		
Summary / Key Points:			
Due to the poor line, it was not possible to hear all the points raised in the meeting.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [REDACTED] started the meeting by providing an overview of the update to REPPiR and the work that it has entailed. New DEPZs were presented for both A and B sites. • The reasons for the notable increase in the minimum radius for the B site was explained and discussed (predominantly weather and planning for all events). The reasons for keeping the A site DEPZ the same were also described. • [REDACTED] described the methods used to determine the new boundary for the B site based on the principles described in the REPPiR ACOP and guidance. The team drove around the area to look at options, in addition to using maps. The following considerations were mentioned: egress from properties at the edge of the zone (where escape might mean entering the zone), the various vulnerable groups and how specific locations can be easily communicated in an emergency so that those within them could identify themselves. • There were concerns raised over the increase in DEPZ size by local emergency responders present at the meeting. There is currently not sufficient capacity at reception centres. It was explained that the response would be tailored to the release and weather and that not all sectors would need to evacuate (if any). An evacuation/shelter working group has been set up to determine what would be required and in which eventualities. It was noted that when the new plan is issued, it needs to be deliverable and therefore there is a significant amount of work to be done to identify the requirements for the new plan and then delivery the capability before 21st May 2020 (deadline for REPPiR 2019 implementation). • A very large development has been proposed within Wokingham’s jurisdiction with a launch planned for this week (very bad timing). The Wokingham Council representative requested that 			

some positive public communications be considered, i.e. along the lines that work to update the off-site emergency would be ongoing to accommodate the new development. However, WBC planners would not commit to this and stated that they currently advised against the proposals and did not want to put more pressure on those carrying out the work to determine current capability and capacity for the REPPiR 2019 update in addition to any more that would be needed for such a significant development (of 15,000 homes). It was noted that under the previous DEPZ, the new development was to be outside the DEPZ but under the proposed new DEPZ, it would all be inside the zone.

- I asked if WBC had recorded the basis of the decisions on which boundaries had been drawn. WBC confirmed that they had done so and will share this information as part of the consultation.
- As the off-site emergency planning group is considered an 'established group', the DEPZ will be consulted on for a two week period only starting this Friday 10th January 2020. WBC will use an interactive map and provide a password to those being consulted to add comments. The area will not be published until after the consultation. This is because, in addition to information obtained in the consultation, WBC are awaiting advice from PHE which might also change the boundaries proposed.
- Aldex planning is on-going, WBC intend to inform the revised AWE off-site emergency plan from learning from Aldex.
- WBC have taken legal advice with respect to when responders can claim costs back from AWE and are currently producing writing guidance on what can and can't be charged for.
- Minutes of the meeting will be available once received from West Berkshire Council.

1 ISSUES

1.1 Issues Raised

The Contact Record can also identify issues raised as a result of the Contact, normally the issues will be recorded against duty holders present. The issue could be raised due to a potential regulatory non-compliance, now or in the future. These issues should be recorded on the ONR Issues Database after the contact and subsequently tracked and managed. In general, these will be Category 4 issues that can be easily followed up via subsequent contact/interventions. More significant issues should be categorised higher and progressed in the usual manner. Please refer to the Regulatory Issues Management process.

No	Issue Title	Category	Issue Level	Licensee/Duty Holder Role	Owner (Inspector)	Completion /Review Date

1.2 Issues Closed

No	Issue Title	Category	Issue Level	Licensee/Duty Holder Role	Owner (Inspector)	Completion /Review Date

Circulation List

Organisation	Name / Responsibility	Date
Office for Nuclear Regulation	[REDACTED]	07/01/2020